

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandin, Vinguina 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/003,422 | 12/06/2001 | Ashok Kumar Shukla | | 5421 |
| | 590 07/23/2003 | | | |
| ASHOK KUMAR SHUKLA 10316 KINGSWAY COURT | | | EXAMINER | |
| ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21042 | | • | RAEVIS, ROBERT R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2856 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N . | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/003,422 | SHUKLA ET AL. | | | |
| · · | Examin r | Art Unit | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this service | Robert R. Raevis | 2856 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply | inication appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory beautiful apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory beautiful apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory beautiful apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply apply apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum by the statutory apply a | r a reply be timely filed . thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) f | filed on | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition | on for allowance except for formal m | natters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the pract Disposition of Claims | ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (| C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the | application | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict | Ction and/or election requirement | | | | |
| Application Papers | and, and, or olookon requirement. | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a) | | | | | |
| 11) I he proposed drawing correction filed | d on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ ∈ | disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| it approved, corrected drawings are rec | quired in reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority of | documents have been received in A | Application No | | | |
| 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to | r domestic priority under 25 LLOO | received. | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language. | THACE provisional application has to | 9 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo | r domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | sen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office Action Summary | Part of Dance No. 0 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/003,422

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 1 and 2; is "containing" (line 1) correct? After all, it would appear that some of the magnets (in Applicant's drawings) contain the pipette.

As to claims 9 and 17; "methods," (line 2 from last) is confusing, as no method is described.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-11, 2, 13, 14, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Suovaniemi et al.

Suovaniemi et al teach a pipetting device that contains an electric motor 9, the motor of which includes both magnetic material and magnet.

Art Unit: 2856

As to claims 1, 4, 5, 7-9, 2, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, the phrase "to attach ... force" (lines 1-3) is a statement of intended use, and thus does not serve as a structural limitation that weight may be given to. After all, the claim is expressly directed to a "pipetting device", and the *claimed* device does *not* include structure to which the device is connected to. The claim is not directed to a pipetting device that is connected to a second body via a magnet, collectively being a combination pipette and second body.

As to claims 10, 11, 18, 19; the motor with gearing is within housing structure, suggestive of permanence, but is reversible when under construction.

5. Claims 1, 3-10, 2, 12-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Moriarty.

Moriarty teaches a pipetting assembly, that includes a pipette secured to a hanger, the hanger of which contains a magnet for attaching the assembly by means of a magnetic force.

As to claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, 2, 12-14, 16, 17, 20; the pipette is secured to the hanger, and together provide for a (single) device.

As to claims 6, 15; note that portion 30 appears to be an arm, and that use of plastic is a common support structure suggestive that Moriarty's are is plastic.

As to claims 10, 18; note that the magnet is glued (col. 5, line 27).

6. Claims 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriarty in view of Taylor.

Art Unit: 2856

As to claims 11 and 20; it would have been obvious to employ a reversible connection because Taylor teaches use of mechanical connections (element 3, along with screws that are visible in Figure 1) to secure a magnet to an item to be fixed.

7. Claims 2, 12-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Janchen.

Janchen teaches a pipette 7 with iron core 9, the core 9 responding to a magnet 5 that holds the pipette.

As to claim 2, 12-14, 16, 17 and 20; the pipette appear to contain the core. As to claim 15; note arm 3.

8. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janchen.

As to claims 18 and 19, the reference does not describe how the core 9 is attached to the pipette, but it is known to attach elements via adhesive, some of which are permanent and others removable.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tucker et al teach connecting a magnet 15 to a device that is to be magnetically secured to a body.

Hempel and Won teach mounting a magnet to a holder to secure a device. Fielden et al, Ghazizadel and Tesmer teach flexible holders.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-

fich brown.

Art Unit: 2856

4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

ROUTE AUZ856 RAEVIS